

addresses here.)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA



Mark C. McCartt, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Donna Washington	Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights
(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)	(Non-Prisoner Complaint) Case 2. OCV 26 7 JED FHN (to be filled in by the Clerk's Office) Jury Trial: Yes \(\square \text{No} \) (check one)
-against- See attached	(check one)
(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names. Do not include	

NOTICE

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 5.2 addresses the privacy and security concerns resulting from public access to electronic court files. Under this rule, papers filed with the court should *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number.

Plaintiff need not send exhibits, affidavits, grievance or witness statements, or any other materials to the Clerk's Office with this complaint.

In order for your complaint to be filed, it must be accompanied by the filing fee or an application to proceed in *forma pauperis*.

IFP ØSWM

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Street Address

City and County

State and Zip Code

Telephone Number

E-mail Address

TONO NOSHINGTON

TOUSO

TOU

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known) and check whether you are bringing this complaint against them in their individual capacity or official capacity, or both. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name	See attached	
Job or Title		
(if known)		
Street Address		
City and County		
State and Zip Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		
(if known)		
☐ Individual capa	city	

Defend	lant N	No. 2				
	Nam	ne	allegate the statement of			
	Job	or Title				
	(if k	nown)				
	Stree	et Address				
	City	and County				
	State	e and Zip Code	Anna (1971)			
	Tele	phone Number		en hann an de san d		
	E-m	ail Address			en alemanya diki katandakan santa ana masa ana kana atau atau atau atau atau atau atau a	
	(if k	nown)				
		Individual capac	ity		Official capacity	
Defend	lant N	No. 3				
	Nam	ne				
	Job	or Title			in propositions between the holy of the company of the contract of the contrac	
	(if k	nown)				
	Stree	et Address	general control of the second			
	City	and County				
	State	e and Zip Code				
	Tele	phone Number				
	E-m	ail Address				
	(if k	nown)				
		Individual capaci	ity		Official capacity	
Defend	lant N	No. 4				
	Nam	ne	·	***********		
	Job	or Title				
	(if k	nown)				
	Stree	et Address			1,	
	City	and County	***************************************			
	State	e and Zip Code				

		Telephone Number
		E-mail Address (if known)
		☐ Individual capacity ☐ Official capacity
II.	Basis	for Jurisdiction
	rights,	42 U.S.C. § 1983, you may sue state or local officials for the "deprivation of any privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." Under s. v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 b, you may sue federal officials for the violation of certain constitutional rights.
	A.	Are you bringing suit against (check all that apply):
		Federal officials (a <i>Bivens</i> claim) State or local officials (a § 1983 claim)
	В.	Section 1983 allows claims alleging the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, what federal constitutional or statutory right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by state or local officials? The Ohlahoma State Department of Human Senices, violated my 4 th , 5 th , and 14 th Constitutional rights.
	C.	Plaintiffs suing under <i>Bivens</i> may only recover for the violation of certain constitutional rights. If you are suing under <i>Bivens</i> , what constitutional right(s) do you claim is/are being violated by federal officials?
	D.	Section 1983 allows defendants to be found liable only when they have acted "under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State

D.	Section 1983 allows defendants to be found liable only when they have acted "under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia." 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you are suing under section 1983, explain how each defendant acted under color of state or local law. If you are suing under <i>Bivens</i> , explain how each defendant acted under color of federal law. Attach additional pages if needed. See Attach additional pages if needed.
	de caracira sation of the compani
State	ment of Claim
person all rel person statute	as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant was nally involved in the alleged wrongful action, along with the dates and locations of evant events. You may wish to include further details such as the names of other as involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not cite any cases or es. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.
A.	Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? The events arese, at the plaintiffs home.
В.	What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? The events an seed on June 15 th , 2018, around 7:00 p.m.
C.	What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? (For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?) Statement of the Claim

III.

IV.	Injuries
	If you sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe your injuries and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and did or did not receive. See affected for the events alleged above, describe your injuries and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and did or did not receive.
v.	Relief
	State briefly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Do not cite any cases or statutes. If requesting money damages, include the amounts of any actual damages and/or punitive damages claimed for the acts alleged. Explain the basis for these claims.
	see attached - Injuries and Relief

VI.

wayester	
Processing this design account	
Cert	ification and Closing
Unde	er Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my
	vledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an
	oper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the
	of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for adding, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have
	entiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support
	a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the
comp	plaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.
A.	For Parties Without an Attorney
	I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-
	related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current
	address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.
	Date of signing: $\frac{C6 \sqrt{8}}{108}$, $\frac{20}{20}$
	Signature of Plaintiff DMG Washington
	Printed Name of Plaintiff DONNA WOShington
В.	For Attorneys
	Date of signing:, 20
	Signature of Attorney
	Printed Name of Attorney Bar Number

Case 4:20-cv-00267-JED-FHM Document 1 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 06/09/20 Page 8 of 30

Name of Law Firm	
Address	
Telephone Number	
E-mail Address	

Page 1 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

Filed: 06/08/2020

Defendant Contact List

Defendant No. 1

Name

Naciska Gilmore

Job Title

Child Welfare Specialist

Street Address

444 S. Houston

City and County

Tulsa

State and Zip Code

Oklahoma, 74127

Telephone Number

(918) 581-2033

E-mail Address

Naciska.Gilmore@OKDHS.org

Individual capacity

Official Capacity

Defendant No. 2

Name

Latressa Avery

Job Title

Child Welfare Specialist IV

Street Address

444 S. Houston

City and County

Tulsa

State and Zip Code

Oklahoma, 74127

Telephone Number

(918) 581-2033

E-mail Address

LaTressa.Avery@OKDHS.org

____ Individual capacity

Official Capacity

Defendant No. 3

Name

Charene Nowicki

Job Title

Child Welfare Supervisor

Street Address

444 S. Houston

City and County

<u>Tulsa</u>

Page 2 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services Filed: 06/08/2020 State and Zip Code Oklahoma, 74127 Telephone Number (918) 581-2033 E-mail Address Charene.Nowicki@OKDHS.org Official Capacity Individual capacity Defendant No. 4 Name Margaret Eagler Job Title Child Welfare Specialist II Street Address 444 S. Houston City and County Tulsa State and Zip Code Oklahoma, 74127 Telephone Number (918) 581-2033 E-mail Address Margaret.Eagler@OKDHS.org Official Capacity Individual capacity Defendant No. 5 Name Vannessa Stinnett Job Title Child Welfare Worker Street Address 444 S. Houston City and County <u>Tulsa</u> State and Zip Code Oklahoma, 74127 Telephone Number (918) 581-2033

Vanness.Stinnett@OKDHS.org

E-mail Address

Individual capacity

Page 3 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

Filed: 06/08/2020

Defendant No. 6

Name

Scott Woodson

Job Title

CSM Facilitator

Street Address

444 S. Houston

City and County

Tulsa

State and Zip Code

Oklahoma, 74127

Telephone Number

(918) 581-2033

E-mail Address

Scott.Woodson@OKDHS.org

____ Individual capacity



Defendant No. 7

Name

Ashley Dugger

Job Title

Child Welfare District Supervior

Street Address

444 S. Houston

City and County

Tulsa

State and Zip Code

Oklahoma, 74127

Telephone Number

(918) 581-2033

E-mail Address

Ashley.Dugger@OKDHS.org

____ Individual capacity

Official Capacity

Defendant No. 8

Name

The Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

Job Title

Child Welfare Division

Street Address

444 S. Houston

City and County

<u>Tulsa</u>

Page 4 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

Filed: 06/08/2020

State and Zip Code

Oklahoma, 74127

Telephone Number

(918) 581-2033

E-mail Address

N/A

____ Individual capacity

__ Official Capacity

Jonah Wadurith 1935 S. 140 th E. ME TUISA, OK 74108 618/576-1402 06/08/2020

Page 1 of 4

Filed: 06/08/2020

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

SECTION "D" of Complaint

Defendant No. 1 Child Welfare Specialist Naciska Gilmore

- Intentionally trespass against the Plaintiff. When defaming the Plaintiff's character.
 Upon submitting fraudulent documents to the court, while in official capacity; with no supporting facts. While also failing to seek local law enforcement to investigate or validate her alleged claims, of a child crime. Resulting in the unjust removal of the Plaintiff's child.
- Abducted the Plaintiff's child. With the use of a fraudulent warrant and excessive force,
 while in official capacity.
- Concealed the Plaintiff's child. While wrongfully having the minor child medically treated; while in official capacity.
- Continued aiding the agency with its abduction. After medical doctors eliminated the
 agency's claim for medical neglect, keeping the Plaintiff's child concealed; while in
 official capacity. Obstructing justice from the Plaintiff.

Defendant No 2. Child Welfare Specialist IV Latressa Avery

- Supported the agency with the abduction of the Plaintiff's minor child. When failing to
 intervene, while in her official capacity. Upon receiving awareness, of the agency
 wrongdoings. Taking no action, allowing the misconduct to conspire.
- Supported the agency with the abduction of the Plaintiff's minor child. When Willfully
 providing fraudulent facts in court, to the Judge. Against the Plaintiff, with lack of
 evidence. While in official capacity.

- D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services Filed: 06/08/2020
 - Denied the Plaintiff's placement option. Refusing to allow the minor child, to be with his
 natural father. While in the State's custody; due to personal agendas. Depriving the
 Plaintiff's civil rights as a parent; while in her official capacity.
 - Threatened and harassed the Plaintiff, while in official capacity. Upon the Plaintiff
 seeking relief from the agency, for its misconduct. In means of support to the agency's
 wrongdoings.

Defendant No 3. Child Welfare Supervisor Charene Nowicki

- Acted out of authority, when presenting a false case to the court, against the plaintiff;
 without any supporting proof. While in official capacity.
- Supported the agency's misconduct. When failing to intervene upon the awareness of the agency's wrongdoings. While in official capacity. Obstructing justice against the Plaintiff.
- Misused government power; while in official capacity. When wrongfully seeking access
 to the Plaintiff's remaining children in the home. While threatening the Plaintiff to use
 the Judge. In attempts to force compliance, of her wrongful demands.
- Harassed the Plaintiff, while misusing government power; while in her official capacity.
 By restricting access to the Plaintiff's child. As means to force cooperation, with her wrongful demands, for accessing the Plaintiff's other children.
- Aided the agency in its conspiracy against the Plaintiff's minor child. When allowing the
 agency to continue and set medical appointments; while being in official capacity. Were
 medical misconduct was taking place. In the forced absence of the mother (Plaintiff).

Page 3 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services Filed: 06/08/2020

While being in her official capacity. Having the full knowledge, the child was medically cleared by doctors. Upon the child being removed, from the home.

Defendant No. 4 Child Welfare Specialist II Margaret Eagler

- intentionally submitted fraudulent claims against the Plaintiff's character; with no supporting evidence. While in her official capacity. When aiding the agency with its criminal activities.
- Willfully admitted to knowing, three months after malicious prosecution; that the
 Plaintiff has not been judged to have an abuse or neglect charge. However, proceeded
 with moving the case forward. While in her official capacity.
- Failed to intervene, when review medical evidence. Showing the agency's wrongful custody; for the Plaintiff's minor child. When leaving the case. Supporting the agency, with their wrongdoings. While being in her official capacity.
- Wrongfully misused government power, while in her official capacity. To demand private access to the Plaintiff's child. While threatening the use of judge. As an attempt to force compliance. Aiding the agency's criminal activities.

Defendant No. 5 Child Welfare Worker Vannessa Stinnett

 Failed to intervene and take any action; while in her official capacity. Upon her awareness; for the agency's misconduct. Obstructing justice from the Plaintiff. When keeping quiet. While only passing the information, back to the wrongdoer.

Defendant No. 6 Child Welfare CSM Facilitator Scott Woodson

Page 4 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services Filed: 06/08/2020

Failed to intervene; while in his official capacity. Upon his awareness, of the agency's
misconduct. Supporting the agency with their wrongdoings. While obstructing justice
from the Plaintiff. By refusing to act any capacity towards the agency's misconduct.
Allowing the case to be transferred into a Permanency Unit.

Defendant No. 7 Child Welfare District Supervisor Ashley Dugger

Failed to intervene and take action, while in her official capacity. Upon her awareness,
of the agency's misconduct. Supporting the agency, in its wrongdoing. By allowing the
misconduct to conspire. While obstructing justice from the Plaintiff.

Defendant No. 8 The Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

- The Oklahoma State Department of Human Service is held liable, for the misconduct and criminal activities; of its Child Welfare Division.
- The Oklahoma State Department supported its Child Welfare Division, in its criminal activities. By misusing State power. When illegal filing fraudulent claims with the court, against the Plaintiff, with no supporting proof or evidence. Allowing for the deprivation and violations of the Plaintiff's constitutional and civil rights.

ma Wayungto 1935 S. 140th E. 17th MISA, CK 741cf (918) 576-1462 Cal 08/2020

Page 1 of 10

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

Filed: 06/05/2020

STATEMENT OF THE CLAIM

- 1. The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, began inflicting constitutional harassment towards the Plaintiff on or around June 13th, 2018. Upon, the Plaintiff completing a medical neglect investigation conducted by its employee Child Welfare Specialist Naciska Gilmore. To which no substantial evidence was found in regards to referral # 1924262. In which the agency began placing threats with the Plaintiff. Asserting false claims against her. While threatening to remove her minor child out of the home.
- 2. The Plaintiff received another phone call from the agency, inflicting more harassing threats on or around June 14th, 2018. Escalating the threats, to now having the District Attorney involved. In order, to rid the Plaintiff of her parental rights along with the custody right of her minor child. Claiming a medical appointment was purposely neglected. To which later discovery shows, the agency cancelling the Plaintiff's medical appointment. In which the Plaintiff had scheduled for her child.
- 3. Before the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, illegally had the child removed from the home on June 15^{th,} 2018. The agency acted under color of law and conspired with Dr. Siegler and his office; to intentionally trespass against the Plaintiff. When knowingly breaking federal laws and falsely using governmental privileges. Upon, illegally accessing the Plaintiff's medical records and altering them. With the intent for gaining unlawful custody, of the Plaintiff's minor child; by alleging medical neglect.
- 4. The Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, intentionally trespassed against the Plaintiff. When filing fraudulent documents against her and swearing by oath to

- maliciously have her prosecuted in court. While depriving the Plaintiff, a jury of her peers. When placing defamatory statement against her character, without any supporting evidence. By which the Plaintiff was left, to clear her own case. Having it dismissed in court, on November 26^{th,} of 2018.
- 5. The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, deprived the Plaintiff; procedural due justice of the law. When failing to follow rules and regulations, for lawfully obtaining State Emergency Custody; of her minor child. Upon wrongfully having him removed the home, on June 15th, 2018. Depriving the Plaintiff, liberty to a happy family life. When mishandling state power, to remove custody on unqualifying grounds, according to federal law.
- 6. Upon, illegally taking the Plaintiff's child into State Emergency Custody; on June 15th, of 2018. The Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, also failed to perform their duties as required by the Constitution. When violating other established federal laws set in place for its agency; upon conducting investigations and removing children from the home. While denying the Plaintiff, procedural due justice of law. When failing to follow proper procedures, for reporting to local law enforcement. For purposes of investigating and/or validating any criminal allegations of a child crime; placed or made by the agency. Before seeking their prosecution, against the Plaintiff.
- 7. Upon the Plaintiff refusing to open her door to Child Welfare Specialist Naciska Gilmore and Child Welfare Specialist IV Latressa Avery, with the Oklahoma State Department for Human Services; on June 15^{th,} 2018. After being threatened and harassed by their agency. The Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, began to further abuse its

Filed: 06/05/2020

powers. By engaging in excessive force, in order to gain illegal access to the Plaintiff
Child. Intentionally using fraudulent warrants and having eight to ten police officers to
trespass and unlawfully break and enter into the Plaintiff's home. Aiming a weapon
(automatic rifle i.e. Ak-47, 223, m16) at the Plaintiff and her children. Violating the
Plaintiff's constitutional right to be secure in home and in person; along with her rights
to procedural due justice of law.

- 8. Upon the Plaintiff refusing to hand over her minor child. After the agency illegally broke and entered into the Plaintiff's home. The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Service began escalating the excessive force and wrongfully detained the Plaintiff. While threatening to remove her other children, as a means to force compliance. Acting under color of law, upon medically kidnapping the Plaintiff's child from the home. Inflicting mental anguish and distress. Continuing to deprive the Plaintiff of her right to be secure in home and in person. Along with civil rights for a happy family life.
- 9. Child Welfare Specialist Naciska Gilmore, with the Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, overrode the Plaintiff's parental rights. After illegally removing the child from the home. Unlawfully having her minor child medically treated, later that evening on June 15th, 2018. Claiming false emergency medical care was needed for the minor child. While refusing to provide the Plaintiff, with the information regarding the location of her minor child. Inflicting mental anguish and distress, while depriving the Plaintiff of her parental rights.

- 10. After medical doctors reported to the agency that evening, on June 15th, 2018. The Plaintiff's child showed No medical issues or health concerns. Child Welfare Specialist Naciska Gilmore, with the Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, failed to uphold the law and refused to return the minor child back to the Plaintiff. Aiding the agency, with its unjust custody for the minor child. After having the child professionally seen and medically cleared by doctors. Eliminating the agency's claim for their State Emergency Custody.
- 11. Child Welfare Specialist IV Latressa Avery, with the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, called the Plaintiff late night; on June 15^{th,} 2018. Refusing to act in any capacity towards the Plaintiff's concern; regarding the agency's unjust custody of her minor child. Informing the Plaintiff, it was too late to have anything fixed and directing her to take things up with the judge at this point. Aiding the agency with its wrongful removal. While denying the Plaintiff's placement option, for her child to be with his natural father; with no giving reason. Further, inflicting mental anguish and distress against the Plaintiff.
- 12. Immediately upon the Plaintiff seeking support from the natural father, of her minor child. The agency vindictively filed for a Deprived Adjudication and Disposition with immediate termination of parental rights, on June 25th, 2018; for the natural father and the Plaintiff both. Restricting access to the Plaintiff's minor child. While attacking her support system and violating her procedural rights, to due justice of the law. Inflicting even more emotional distress, towards the Plaintiff.

- 13. After the Plaintiff placed concerns with the agency, in regards to misconduct of another Child Welfare Worker; with the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services. Child Welfare Specialist IV Latressa Avery, responded to the Plaintiff by email; on June 10^{th,} 2018. Threatening the Plaintiff, to permanently have defamatory statements against her character, placed into her files. Inflicting mental anguish, by engaging in misconduct.
- 14. Upon the Plaintiff obtaining physical evidence, showing the agency had knowledge; of her minor child being medically cleared for medical neglect. The Plaintiff placed even more concern with the agency. In return Child Welfare CSM Facilitator Scott Woodson, with the Oklahoma State Department for Human Services failed to uphold the law.
 Reusing to act in any capacity regarding the Plaintiff's case. Allowing the case be transferred into a permanency unit. Instructing the Plaintiff to direct all inquiries with her worker.
- 15. Upon the Plaintiff providing further awareness to the agency, in regards for having wrongful custody of her minor child. While placing further inquiries, for having him returned home. With Supervisor Charene Nowicki, in the Permanency Unit, for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services. In return Child Welfare Supervisor Charene Nowicki, aided the agency. When failing to act in any capacity, towards the Plaintiff's complaint. While harassing the Plaintiff and misusing governmental privileges. Upon wrongfully seeking access to the remaining children, in the Plaintiff's home. While withholding visitation, with the Plaintiff's child; as an attempt to force compliance.
- 16. Upon the Plaintiff placing concern with her Permanency Worker Vannessa Stinnett, with the Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, June 17^{th,} 2018. In regards to

- negligence and misconduct, of the Permanency Supervisor Charene Nowicki; with the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services. In return, Permanency Worker Vannessa Stinnett failed to act in any capacity towards the Plaintiff's complaint. While assisting the agency. By remaining quiet of the situation. Only passing the knowledge, back to the wrongdoer. Inflicting mental anguish and distress, upon the Plaintiff.
- 17. The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, intentionally conspired with Dr. Seigler and his office. By switching the date for the child's medical appointment and failing to provide the Plaintiff with the information.

 Denying the Plaintiff the opportunity, to attend the medical procedure of her minor child. From were misconduct had taken place, during testing procedures and inaccurate testing results were used. As an attempt to show justification, for the agency's unlawful Custody, of the Plaintiff's minor child.
- 18. After the agency conspired to have test result influenced, for the Plaintiff's minor child.

 The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department Human Services,
 engaged in malice behaviors; requesting life-threatening surgery for the minor child.

 Immensely, inflicting mental anguish and emotional distress towards the Plaintiff.
- 19. After the Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Oklahoma State Medical Board, against Dr.

 Siegler; in June of 2018. Upon the doctor, continuing to work with the agency. In

 Regards to medical fraud and misconduct, involving her minor child. Child Welfare

 Supervisor Charene Nowicki, with the Oklahoma State Department for Human Services

 began harassing the Plaintiff. When spitefully, placing even tighter restrictions on

 communication; with the Plaintiff's minor child. While demanding access, to the

Page 7 of 10

STATEMENT OF THE CLAIM

Filed: 06/05/2020

remaining children in the Plaintiff's home. Mishandling government power to force compliance. When threatening to have the judge provide access, to her remaining children.

- 20. Upon the Plaintiff placing concern with the Child Welfare District Supervisor Ashley Dugger, for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services. In regards to the misconduct of its employee, Child Welfare Supervisor Charene Nowicki. In return, Child Welfare District Supervisor Ashley Dugger, failed to act in any capacity towards the Plaintiff's complaint. Supporting the agency. By allowing the unlawful actions of the employee, to remain uncorrected. Inflicting more mental anguish against the Plaintiff.
- 21. The Child Welfare Division for Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, reverted back to malice behaviors. Seeking a second attempt, for placing the Plaintiff's child under major surgery. By changing the child's primary care provider and placing fraudulent medical claims against him. While defaming the Plaintiff's character and depriving her the chance to attend, any of the child's medical appointments. Inflicting mental anguish and distress towards the Plaintiff. Upon the deprivation, of her civil liberties.
- 22. The Oklahoma State Department of Human Services began creating state dangers; in their attempt, to justify their malicious behavior. By forcing the Plaintiff's minor child to see a therapist, while in state custody. As means for brainwashing and persuading the child. To believe in a false disorder. He does not have. Causing future mental trauma and medical matters for the minor child. Inflicting an enormous amount of emotional distress and mental anguish upon the Plaintiff for the damages caused.

- 23. The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, further escalated their attempt; to justify their malicious behaviors. When conspiring, with other government offices; to entrap the Plaintiff. By intentionally slandering the Plaintiff's name and submitting fraudulent claims with other governmental agencies. While unlawfully requiring the Plaintiff, to undergo their mental health services and demanding to have those results; released back to their agency. Before custody could be return of her minor child. Inflicting mental harassment towards the Plaintiff.
- 24. After the Plaintiff hired a private attorney, to gain custody back of her minor child. The Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, spitefully filed for Temporary Oder after Petition on September 10th, 2018. To maintain illegal access, to the Plaintiff's minor child. Claiming it would be beneficial for the agency to supervise the case. Further inflicting constitutional harassment, towards the Plaintiff.
- 25. Upon the Plaintiff hiring a private attorney. The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, vindictively began stealing funds and attacking the Plaintiff's financial lively hood. By wrongfully placing child support against the Plaintiff and illegally removing her child's disability check. Inflicting mental anguish and emotional distress against the Plaintiff. While still currently stealing funds. Upon recently removing the Plaintiff's child support payments in May of 2018; to recover foster care fees. During the time her child was wrongfully in State Custody.
- 26. Child Welfare Specialist II Margaret Eagler with the Oklahoma State Department of
 Human Services failed to uphold federal laws. Obstructing justice, after admitting to
 knowing three months after the malicious prosecution; that the Plaintiff has not been

- judged to have an abuse or neglect charge. However, still failed to have custody of the minor child rightfully returned; back to the Plaintiff.
- 27. After reviewing evidence from the Plaintiff, during a home visit in October of 2018. Child Welfare Specialist II Margaret Eagler with the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, continued breaking federal laws and obstructing justice. Allowing the Plaintiff's case to remain open. While violating her civil rights for an additional 60 days. Until the Plaintiff, was able to have the case dismissed in court.
- 28. When, acknowledging the Plaintiff obtained physical evidence from the doctors to clear her name. Upon gaining custody back of her minor child. Child Welfare Specialist II

 Margaret Eagler with the Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, began demanding private access to the Plaintiff's child. While harassing the Plaintiff and using threat tactics, to involving the judge. As an attempt to force cooperation out of the Plaintiff.
- 29. The Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, conspired with the child's school to claim seizure activity. As another attempt to sustain fraudulent evidence. Using conflicting reports from the schools and acting on fraudulent claims for medical neglect. Engaging in more malice behaviors.
- 30. Upon the Plaintiff providing medical care for her minor child; due to the school incident on November 13^{th,} 2018. The Child Welfare Division for the Oklahoma State Department of Human Services, began harassing the Plaintiff; in the middle of her son's doctor visit.

 While seeking to begin a new investigation. Along with access to interview the

Page 10 of 10

STATEMENT OF THE CLAIM

Filed: 06/05/2020

- remaining children in her home. Threatening to report noncompliance, if the Plaintiff does not cooperate with their new demands.
- 31. Upon the Plaintiff having her case dismissed on November 26^{th,} 2018. The Oklahoma State Department for Human Services, continued to defame the Plaintiff's character. By maintaining fraudulent records against her. Informing the Plaintiff, that although the case was dismissed. It would still read differently in other files. In which Plaintiff requested entire copy of her case; including any personal notes. However, the agency only distributed limited falsified documents. Denying the Plaintiff, the right to see what was on her files; with their agency.

MMa Washingto 1935 S. 146 # E ALE TUISA, UK 7416 (918) 576-1402 de 108/2020

Page 1 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services

Filed: 06/08/2020

Injuries and Relief

1. The Plaintiff seeks a total of \$39,300 in relief; for the following economic damages:

Economic Damages

- Lawyer fees \$4,000
- Medical fees \$500
- Traveling fees \$300
- Missed work \$800
- Property damages \$250
- Mailing fees \$125
- Copying fees \$150
- Printing fees \$175
- Stolen funds with generated late fees \$13,000
- Needed mental health care, with a comprehensive child centered approach to recovery and healing for the minor child - \$10,000
- Needed mental health care, with a comprehensive client centered approach to recovery and healing for the Plaintiff - \$10,000
- 2. The Plaintiff seeks money damages, for relief with each of the following claims:

Non – economic damages

- Civil Tort Intentional infliction of emotional distress \$350,000
- Civil Tort Malicious prosecution \$350,000
- Civil Tort Intentional intent to trespass to land \$350,000

Page 2 of 4

Filed: 06/08/2020

- D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services
 - Civil Tort Intentional intent to chettle \$350,000
 Basis for non economic damages:
 - Impact of a home invasion
 - Impact from child abduction
 - Impact from child concealment
 - Impact from excessive force, with use of a deadly weapon and wrongful detainment.
 - Psychological effects from unconstitutional treatment towards the Plaintiff
 - Psychological effects for unconstitutional treatment towards my minor child
 - Impact on daily life associated with these psychological effects
 - Emotional distress brought against the Plaintiff
 - Mental anguish brought against the Plaintiff
 - Sense of loss, betrayal, and trust for the Oklahoma State government and its justice system, in which I reside.
 - 3. The Plaintiff seeks a total of \$500,000 in the amount of punitive damages.

Malice acts of the Department

- Infringement of the Constitutional Law
- Intentional intent to violate other established federal laws
- Intentional misuse of government power
- Home invasion with use of government power
- Child abduction with the use of government power
- Child concealment

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services Filed: 06/08/2020

- Failing to put my child's safety 1st
- Conspiring with other government agencies and offices. Were all parties profited off my son.
- Force to suffer at the actions of the Department.
- Created dangers for the mental and emotional delays of my minor child.
- Lack of justification for excessive force.
- Lack of justification for removing the child from the home.
- Lack of justification for depriving the Plaintiff's civil rights
- Lack of justification for prosecuting the Plaintiff.

Basis for Punitive Damages

The agency poorly mishandled, the powers bestowed to them by the Constitution, to protect the public's health, welfare, and morals. The defendants were proven wrong in the court of law. On top of, harassingly admitting their knowledge and awareness for their wrongdoings. However, has not been punished for their criminal and illegal activities; and refuses to amended their actions. Causing the Plaintiff to endure hardship and immense stress, worry and anxiety upon the pursuit of her justice and redress. In which the Plaintiff, is entitled to by the constitutional law.

Regardless of the abductor's motive/s, abduction it is an illegal act that has lasting consequences for the abducted child, the custodial parent, and the abducting family members. It is a crime in all 50 states and in the District of Columbia. The Plaintiff seeks

Page 4 of 4

D. Washington vs Oklahoma State Department of Human Services Filed: 06/08/2020 punitive damages. To punish the defendant for their outrageous conduct and to deter others from engaging in such conduct, from which is formed on the basis of this lawsuit.

While improving the efforts when implementing and coordinating intervention and prevention programs. With the implementation of legal and effective actions and behaviors. So that it protects public safety, providing only treatment and rehabilitative services truly needed. While tailored to the needs of the client and their families. And To avoid the temptation in overstepping parental rights; while implementing morals rights. By respecting the rights of parents to make decisions regarding their children's healthcare. Without their child being taken into foster care and cps custody.

Mma Wadungm 1935 S. 140th E. ME TUISA, OK 74108 (918) 576-1402 Ole 108 12020